

Contents

List of Figures	iv
Executive Summary	1
1. Introduction	3
2. Duration and Nature of Online Presence among Wisconsin Credit Unions	5
2.1. Online Presence of CUs	5
2.2. Status of Online Transaction Capability	6
2.3. “Offline” Services through CU brick-and-mortar facilities	8
2.4. Online Services	9
3. Motivations and Barriers	11
3.1. Importance of an online presence	11
3.2. Motivations for an online presence	18
3.3. Barriers	26
4. Progress towards Online Strategy Goals	35
4.1. Criteria and Performance	35
4.2. Overall Performance –Self Evaluation	44
4.3. Costs	46
5. The Effect of Competition	50
5.1. New Competition due to the Internet	50
5.2. What Competitors offer to attract members	53
5.3. Member Preference for Brick-and-mortar versus Online Facilities	56
5.4. Comparison with Competitors	59
Appendix	
Survey Questionnaire	62

List of Figures

Fig 1.1:	Distribution of CUs based on number of members they have- (A) Survey Respondents (B) Wisconsin CUs, from NCUA Call Report Data, June 2000	4
Fig 2.1:	Duration for which CUs have had a website	5
Fig 2.2:	Duration for which CUs have had transaction capability	7
Fig 2.3:	Number of CUs offering various services offline	8
Fig 2.4:	Number of CUs offering various services online (current & future)	10
Fig 3.1:	Importance of online presence for All CUs: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	13
Fig 3.2:	Importance of online presence for CUs without websites: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	13
Fig 3.3:	Importance of online presence for CUs with websites, but without transaction capability: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	13
Fig 3.4:	Importance of online presence for CUs with transaction capability: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	13
Fig 3.5:	Importance of online presence for CUs with less than 500 Members: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	15
Fig 3.6:	Importance of online presence for CUs 500 to 1000 members: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	15
Fig 3.7:	Importance of online presence for CUs with 1000 to 3000 members: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	15
Fig 3.8:	Importance of online presence for CUs with 3000 to 20000 members: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	15
Fig 3.9:	Importance of online presence for CUs with more than 20000 members: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	15
Fig 3.10:	Importance of online presence for CUs without a website: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	17
Fig 3.11:	Importance of online presence for CUs with a website since this year: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	17
Fig 3.12:	Importance of online presence for CUs with a website for 1 to 3 years: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	17
Fig 3.13:	Importance of online presence for CUs with a website for 3 to 5 years: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	17
Fig 3.14:	Importance of online presence for CUs with a website for more than 5 years: Perceived Importance vs. % of CUs	17
Fig 3.15:	Importance of “Responding to Competitors with Online Presence” for various CU member sizes	19
Fig 3.16:	Importance of “Enables Increased Member Base” for various CU member sizes	19

Fig 3.17: Importance of “Members Demanding Online Presence” for various CU member sizes	20
Fig 3.18: Importance of “Faster Transactions” for various CU member sizes	20
Fig 3.19: Importance of “Reduction in Overall Cost of Transactions” for various CU member sizes	21
Fig 3.20: Importance of “Reduction in Processing Errors” for various CU member sizes	21
Fig 3.21: Importance of “Responding to Competitors with Online Presence” for CUs with Different Durations of Online Presence	22
Fig 3.22: Importance of “Enables Increased Member Base” for CUs with Different Durations of Online Presence	23
Fig 3.23: Importance of “Members Demanding Online Presence” for CUs with Different Durations of Online Presence	23
Fig 3.24: Importance of “Faster Transactions” for CUs with Different Durations of Online Presence	24
Fig 3.25: Importance of “Reduction in overall Cost of Transactions” for CUs with Different Durations of Online Presence	24
Fig 3.26: Importance of “Reduction in Processing Errors” for CUs with Different Durations of Online Presence	25
Fig 3.27: Strength of “Cost” as a barrier, for different CU member sizes	27
Fig 3.28: Strength of “Lack of Technical Expertise” as a Barrier, for different CU member sizes	27
Fig 3.29: Strength of “Cost” as a Barrier, for different CU member sizes (N=136)	28
Fig 3.30: Strength of “Lack of Demand from Members” as a Barrier, for different CU member sizes	28
Fig 3.31: Strength of “Reluctant to take risk of an Early Starter” as a Barrier, for different CU member sizes	29
Fig 3.32: Strength of “Lack of Organizational Support” as a Barrier, for different CU member sizes	29
Fig 3.33: Strength of “Busy with Y2K” as a Barrier, for different CU member sizes	30
Fig 3.34: Strength of “Cost” as a Barrier, for different durations of CU online presence	31
Fig 3.35: Strength of “Lack of technical expertise” as a barrier, for different durations of CU website	31
Fig 3.36: Strength of “Security Issues” as a Barrier, for different durations of CU websites	32
Fig 3.37: Strength of “Lack of Member Demand” as a Barrier, for different durations of CU websites	32
Fig 3.38: Strength of “Reluctant to Take Risk of Early Starter” as a Barrier, for different durations of CU websites	33
Fig 3.39: Strength of “Lack of Organization Support” as a Barrier, for different durations of CU websites	33

Fig 3.40: Strength of “Busy with Y2K” as a Barrier, for CUs with Different Durations of Online Presence	34
Fig 4.1: Importance and Performance on "Member Satisfaction" for different CU member sizes	36
Fig 4.2: Importance and Performance on "Increase in Member Base" for different CU member sizes	37
Fig 4.3: Importance and Performance on "Percentage of Online Transactions" for different CU member sizes	37
Fig 4.4: Importance and Performance on "Reduction in Cost per Transaction" for different CU member sizes	38
Fig 4.5: Importance and Performance on "Reduction in Transaction Errors" for different CU member sizes	38
Fig 4.6: Importance and Performance on "Return on Investment" for different CU member sizes	39
Fig 4.7: Importance and Performance on "Revenue through Online Transactions" for different CU member sizes	39
Fig 4.8: Importance and Performance on "Member Satisfaction" for different durations of CU websites	40
Fig 4.9: Importance and Performance on "Increase in Member Base" for different durations of CU websites	41
Fig 4.10: Importance and Performance on "Percentage of Online Transactions" for different durations of CU websites	41
Fig 4.11: Importance and Performance on "Reduction in Cost per Transaction" for different durations of CU websites	42
Fig 4.12: Importance and Performance on "Reduction in Transaction Errors" for different durations of CU websites	42
Fig 4.13: Importance and Performance on "Return on Investment" for different durations of CU websites	43
Fig 4.14: Importance and Performance on "Percentage of Revenue through Online Transactions" for different durations of CU websites	43
Fig 4.15: Number of CUs achieving various degrees of Success in Realizing Business Goals	44
Fig 4.16: Number of CUs achieving various degrees of Progress towards Complete Long-Term Implementation of Online Strategy	45
Fig 4.17: Number of CUs that have different amounts of current and future spending	47
Fig 4.18: Average spending by various CU segments – categorized by size of member base	48
Fig 4.19: Average spending by various CU segments –categorized by duration of online presence	48
Fig 4.20: Average spending by various CU segments- categorized by duration of online transactions capability	49
Fig 5.1: Threats perceived from competitors by CU of various member sizes	51
Fig 5.2: Threats perceived from competitors by CUs with various durations of online presence	52

Fig 5.3:	Offerings by competitors that could lure away CU members, for CUs of various member sizes	54
Fig 5.4:	Offerings by competitors that could lure away CU members, for CUs with various durations of online presence	55
Fig 5.5:	Importance of various characteristics of a brick-and-mortar facility to members of CUs with various sizes of their member base	57
Fig 5.6:	Importance of various characteristics of a brick-and-mortar facility to members of CUs with various durations of online presence	58
Fig 5.7:	Perception of standing relative to other CUs in adoption and use of internet technologies	59
Fig 5.8:	Perception of Standing Relative to Other Banks and Pure Internet Financial Institutions in Adoption and Use of Internet Technologies	60